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ORIGINS OF TERRITORIAL COHESION AGENDA

• Lisbon Treaty: addition of territorial cohesion as explicit objective of Cohesion policy.

• Conclusions of EU regional development ministers Leipzig 2007 inviting Commission to prepare a report.

• Commission Green Paper on Territorial Cohesion 2008 raises questions for public debate.

• Majority of respondents underline importance of coordination of efforts for whole transnational areas as in case of Baltic Sea Strategy.
OPERATIONAL ADVANTAGES TERRITORIAL COHESION

- Enhanced functional approach meaning more flexibility regarding territorial levels and scales at which challenges can be met.
- Better coordination at each level for more coherent public policies on the ground.
- Strengthened multilevel governance
ORIGINS OF BALTIC SEA STRATEGY

• 2006 call by European Parliament for strategy for region.

• 2007 European Council invites Commission to present strategy.

• 2009 adoption of strategy by Commission.
MAIN OBJECTIVES

• Create an environmentally sustainable place.
• Create a prosperous place.
• Create an attractive and accessible place.
• Create a safe and secure place
STRATEGY CHARACTERISTICS

- Example of problem solving at the most appropriate territorial level.
- Example of multi-level governance structure.
- Example of multi-sectoral approach involving wide range of different EU policies.
IMPLEMENTATION 2010

- Positive overall conclusion of First Annual Forum (Talinn October 2010), notably:
  
  - Strategy is actively addressing relevant problems and potentials of region.
  
  - Endorsement of implementation structure as innovative, flexible and good way of working.
LESSONS LEARNT

• Need to ensure shared level of ambition across all national actors, both political and administrative.

• Alignment of funding of Cohesion policy programmes with strategy implementation proving challenging.

• Further work needed on aligning other EU, national and regional funding.
LESSONS LEARNT

• Need to enhance qualitative nature of annual reporting from Cohesion policy programmes.

• Need to highlight specific contribution to implementation of flagship projects and other significant projects.

• Standardise quantitative information on share of investments contributing to strategy.
KEY DATES 2011

- February 16-17 in Gdansk: Second Working Meeting on strategy implementation.
- by March 15: advance reporting to Commission of 2010 Cohesion policy contribution to strategy implementation.
- By 30 June: submission by Commission to EU Council of Ministers of first official implementation report.
KEY DATES 2011

• July-October: first review and update of action plan under Polish presidency.

• October: Gdansk: Annual Forum of the strategy, organised in association with the Polish government.
Conclusions respond to introduction of territorial dimension by advocating:

- Development of an ambitious urban agenda.
- Addressing urban-rural linkages.
- Further work on new macro-regional strategies based on review of existing strategies and availability of resources.
KEY CHALLENGES

• Need to ensure good quality reporting on implementation during 2010 as input for Commission report to Council.

• Need to strengthen existing cooperation and build up new links between actors.

• Importance of strategic discussion under Polish presidency as part of development of territorial cohesion agenda.
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