



Document title	HELCOM database for the coastal and marine Baltic Sea protected areas (HELCOM MPAs).
Code	5-2
Category	INF
Agenda Item	5 – Assessment and data for MSP
Submission date	9.2.2016
Submitted by	HELCOM Secretariat
Reference	

### Background

The aim of the coastal and marine Baltic Sea protected areas (HELCOM MPAs, former BSPAs) is to protect valuable marine and coastal habitats in the Baltic Sea. This is done by designating sites with particular nature values as protected areas, and by managing human activities within those areas. Each site has its unique management plan. The first 62 HELCOM MPAs were established in 1994, and today there are 174 HELCOM MPAs.

The information on HELCOM MPAs is stored and made openly available online with the HELCOM MPA database (former BSPA database) web application. HELCOM MPA database has been modernized and updated during 2015 and is now open for the general public via the <u>HELCOM website</u> and directly at <u>http://mpas.helcom.fi</u>. In the web application users can view and search spatial and descriptive information about all HELCOM MPAs as reported by Contracting Parties in HELCOM.

The latest reporting round, completed in September 2015, provided much additional information related to each MPA which serves as background for the regional analysis of ecological coherence of MPAs. This additional information includes updated information on management plans, species, biotopes, biotope complexes, pressures and activities within each MPA and is openly available online. The report on analysis of ecological coherence of MPAs is currently being finalized and will be submitted for adoption in HELCOM 37-2016 meeting held in 10-11 March.

### Action requested

The meeting is requested to <u>take note</u> of the newly modernized and updated database of Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) and on the ongoing update of the regional analysis of ecological coherence of MPAs.

The delegates may wish to <u>provide feedback</u> on possible development suggestions related to HELCOM MPA database web application, by informing HELCOM Secretariat (<u>UllaLi.Zweifel@helcom.fi</u>), by 11 March 2016.

# HELCOM database for the coastal and marine Baltic Sea protected areas (HELCOM MPAs).

## Update of HELCOM database on marine protected areas (HELCOM MPAs)

The HELCOM Baltic Sea Protected Areas (BSPA) database was established in 2007 and made available as a web application. The web application contained basic information on protected areas and was considered as useful information tool regarding MPAs in the Baltic Sea. However, certain limitations in the data model flexibility in terms of further development and lack of spatial component in the web application was considered as disadvantages which initiated a project to update and modernize the information content and also the database and web application during the year 2015, co-funded by Sweden.

For the 2015 update, the former BSPA database model was completely redesigned to take into account recent policy developments which set requirements regarding data compatibility. For example, the lists of pressures and activities were updated to be as much as possible in line with the MSFD Annex III listings. In the planning phase the predefined code lists were designed to take into account existing ones developed for Natura2000 and OSPAR database on marine protected areas to harmonize MPA databases of the neighbouring marine regions to fullest possible extent. Large emphasis was also given to user friendliness of reporting and accessing the data using the web application tool.

The updated version of the <u>HELCOM MPAs database web application</u> was publicly released in 30 October 2015. Currently the HELCOM Secretariat is collecting feedback on the web application from HELCOM Contracting parties by 11 March 2016 to be taken on board for the designated second updated of the database, to be completed in April 2016.

### General description of MPA database web application

The HELCOM MPA database (former BSPA database) can be accessed at <u>http://mpas.helcom.fi</u>, which contains interactive web application that is connected to the database. In the web application users can view and search spatial and descriptive information on all HELCOM MPAs as reported by HELCOM Contracting Parties.

The front page contains 8 different tabs, where different information on MPAs can be viewed (Figure 1).

- 1. Home: Landing page containing description of the database
- 2. Sites: List of all HELCOM MPAs containing links to more detailed site-specific info sheets and map.
- Species: List of relevant species (HELCOM Red listed species (2013), HELCOM (2006) and OSPAR (2008) list of threatened/declining species, HELCOM Checklist for Macro-species (2012), HD Annex II, IV, V and BD Annex I species) which are reported to database, containing links to HELCOM Species information sheets, WoRMS (World Register of Marine Species) taxonomy description and list of sites where species have been reported.
- 4. **Biotopes:** List of relevant biotopes which are reported to database, containing links HELCOM Biotope information sheets and list of sites where biotopes have been reported.
- 5. **Biotope complexes:** List of relevant biotope complexes which are reported to database, containing links HELCOM Biotope complex information sheets and list of sites where biotope complexes have been reported.

- 6. **Pressures:** List of relevant pressures (based on MSFD Annex III revision document (May 2015)) including number and list of sites where each pressure has been reported.
- 7. Activities: List of relevant activities and list of sites where each activity is regulated.
- 8. **Map:** Interactive map viewer of all HELCOM MPAs and Natura2000 areas



\* former BSPAs

Figure 1. Home page of HELCOM MPAs database web application.

#### Sites section

Sites section contains list of all 174 HELCOM MPAs, which can be sorted and filtered by country, MPA status, MPA size etc. On the upper bar user can select predefined filter queries, e.g. by showing all MPAs by national protections status. (Figure 2). All tabular information can be downloaded as csv file (Actions -> Download).

4													
н	lome	Sites	Specie	s Bioto	opes Bioto	pe complexes	Pres	sures	Activities	Мар			
•							View MF	PAs by Nat	ura 2000 st	atus Vie	v MPAs by national p	rotection status	
C	Q ~ Go Actions ~												
ID	HELC	OM MPA name	Map view	Country 🛓	Subbasin	Status	Coverage	Location	MPA size (km²)	MPA marine siz (km²)	e MPA terrestrial size (km²)	Territorial Waters (km²)	Excl
126	Davids	<u>s Banke</u>	map	Denmark	Bornholm Basin	Designated and managed	Marine	Both territorial waters and Exclusive Economic Zone	8.42	8.4	2 0	7.85	
128	Skælsk havet o Agersø	kør Fjord og og kysten mellen » og Glænø	<u>map</u> n	Denmark	Great Belt	Designated and managed	Marine and terrestrial	Territorial waters	185.82	136.7	7 49.05	13.68	
129	<u>Salthol</u> omligg	<u>lm oq</u> lende hav	<u>map</u>	Denmark	Arkona Basin,The Sound	e Designated and managed	Marine and terrestrial	Territorial waters	72.52	54.5	5 17.97	54.55	
130	<u>Stavns</u> Østerfla Hede	Fjord, Samsø ak og Nordby	<u>map</u>	Denmark	Great Belt	Designated and managed	Marine and terrestrial	Territorial waters	157.38	150.9	4 6.44	150.88	
131	<u>Hessel</u> omligg	lø med jende stenrev	<u>map</u>	Denmark	Kattegat	Designated and managed	Marine and terrestrial	Territorial waters	42.14	41.5	0.64	4.15	
132	Store N	Middelgrund	<u>map</u>	Denmark	Kattegat	Designated and managed	Marine	Exclusive Economic Zone	21.47	21.4	7 0	0.00	

Figure 2. Sites list.

HELCOM MPA database contains much detailed information under each MPA. By clicking a name of a specific MPA name in the Sites list, a site specific information page is opened. Site specific information is grouped in to seven expandable sections:

#### • General information: Basic information, selection criteria, national protections status

Seneral information of site Hailuoto, pohjoisranta/ Hailuoto northshore, Finland

HELCOM MPA name:	Hailuoto, pohjoisranta/ Hailuoto northshore
ID:	147
Country:	Finland
Status:	Designated
Date of MPA establishment:	19.09.2005
Date of last information update:	07.10.2015
Management authority name:	Metsähallitus, Pohjanmaan luontopalvelut
Management authority website:	www.metsa.fi
Subbasin(s):	Bothnian Bay
Location:	Territorial waters
Coverage:	Marine and terrestrial
MPA size (km²):	36.69
MPA marine size (km²):	22.50
Terrestrial Area (km²):	14.19
Territorial Waters (km <sup>2</sup> ):	22.50
Exclusive Economic Zone (km²):	0.00
Map view:	Map of the MPA
Selection criteria:	Important migration route and resting area for species Important reproduction area for species Rarity of species or habitats Sensitivity of species or habitats Area with high natural biodiversity Ecologically significant habitats Representative area Because of geological values Because of biological values Because of terrestrial values To protect natural habitat types listed in Habitats Directive Annex I To protect habitats of the species listed in Habitats Directive Annex II To protect special protection areas classified by Member States under the Birds Directive
National protection status:	Other reserves (Other state-owned protected nature reserves) (legal protection)
IUCN category:	IV
Natura 2000 sites:	FI1100201 (Natura 2000 status:Both SPA and SCI/SAC)

Figure 3. General information of an MPA site.



• Map viewer: Interactive map viewer zoomed in to the selected MPA

Figure 4. Map viewer window zoomed in to selected MPA site (highlighted in red). Other HELCOM map layers can be overlaid on top by activating those from the Table of Contents (on right).

Species: Species reported on site, including information on monitoring, status and designation
Species on site Hailuoto, pohjoisranta/Hailuoto northshore, Finland (hide / show)

Q~		Go 1. Primary Report	٥	Actions ~					
Scientific name ⊾î	English name	Species group	Species status	Does the species justify the site's designation as an MPA?	Performed monitoring	Authorities responsible for monitoring	Link to Species Information Sheet (SIS)	Link to WORMS	HELCOM Red List category
Alisma wahlenbergii	-	Macrophytes	not reported	No	occasional monitoring program	not reported	SIS	WORMS	VU
Anas strepera	Gadwall	Birds	breeding	No	no monitoring program	not reported	SIS	WORMS	-
Anser fabalis fabalis	Taiga bean goose	Birds	migratory	No	no monitoring program	not reported	SIS	WORMS	EN
Arenaria interpres	Ruddy turnstone	Birds	breeding	No	no monitoring program	not reported	SIS	WORMS	VU
Aythya marila	Greater scaup	Birds	not reported	No	no monitoring program	not reported	SIS	WORMS	VU
Calidris alpina schinzii	Southern dunlin	Birds	breeding	No	no monitoring program	not reported	SIS	WORMS	EN
Calidris temminckii	Temminck's stint	Birds	breeding	No	no monitoring program	not reported	<u>SIS</u>	WORMS	NT
Chara sp.	-	Macrophytes	not reported	No	no monitoring program	not reported	<u>SIS</u>	WORMS	-
Charadrius hiaticula hiaticula	Ringed plover	Birds	not reported	No	no monitoring program	not reported	SIS	WORMS	NT
Coregonus albula	Vendace	Fish and lamprey species	not reported	No	no monitoring program	not reported	SIS	WORMS	LC
Coregonus maraena	Maraene	Fish and lamprey species	not reported	No	no monitoring program	not reported	SIS	WORMS	EN
Cottus gobio	Bullhead	Fish and lamprey species	occasional	No	no monitoring program	not reported	<u>SIS</u>	WORMS	LC
Gavia arctica	Black-throated diver	Birds	not reported	No	no monitoring program	not reported	SIS	WORMS	CR
Gavia stellata	Red-throated diver	Birds	not reported	No	no monitoring program	not reported	SIS	WORMS	CR
Haliaeetus albicilla	White-tailed sea-eagle	Birds	not reported	No	no monitoring program	not reported	<u>SIS</u>	WORMS	-
Halichoerus grypus	Grey seal	Mammals	occasional	No	no monitoring program	not reported	<u>SIS</u>	WORMS	LC
Hippuris tetraphylla	Fourleaf mare's tail	Macrophytes	not reported	No	no monitoring program	not reported	SIS	WORMS	EN
Hydroprogne caspia	Caspian tern	Birds	not reported	No	no monitoring program	not reported	SIS	WORMS	VU
Lampetra fluviatilis	River lamprey	Fish and lamprey species	migratory	No	no monitoring program	not reported	SIS	WORMS	NT
Larus canus	Mew gull	Birds	breeding	No	no monitoring program	not reported	SIS	WORMS	-

Figure 5. Species reported on selected MPA site.

• Biotopes: Biotopes reported on site, including information on monitoring, status and designation

Siotopes on site Hailuoto, pohjoisranta/ Hailuoto northshore, Finland

Q~			Go	1. Primary Report	٥	Actions $\sim$					
Biotope code	Biotope sublevel 6 code	Biotope name (HUB or HELCOM 1998)						Performed monitoring	Authorities responsible for monitoring	HELCOM Red List category	Link to Biotope Information Sheet (BIS)
AA.A1C		Baltic photic rock and boulders of	haracte	rized by perennial algae		No	no monitoring program	not reported	NE		
AA.A1I		Baltic photic rock and boulders of	haracte	rized by epibenthic crustacea		No	no monitoring program	not reported	NE		
AA.A1J		Baltic photic rock and boulders of	rized by epibenthic sponges (P	No	no monitoring program	not reported	LC				
AA.A1S		Baltic photic rock and boulders characterized by annual algae					No	no monitoring program	not reported	LC	
AA.A1V		Baltic photic rock and boulders of	haracte	rized by mixed epibenthic mac	ocomn	nunity	No	no monitoring program	not reported	LC	
AA.A2T		Baltic photic rock and boulders of	haracte	rized by sparse epibenthic mad	rocom	munity	No	no monitoring program	not reported	LC	
AA.A2W		Baltic photic rock and boulders of	haracte	rized by microphytobenthic org	anisms	and grazing snails	No	no monitoring program	not reported	LC	
AA.A4U		Baltic photic rock and boulders of	rized by no macrocommunity		No	no monitoring program	not reported	LC			
AA.H1A		Baltic photic muddy sediment ch	aracteri	ized by emergent vegetation			No	no monitoring program	not reported	NE	
AA.H1B		Baltic photic muddy sediment ch	aracteri	ized by submerged rooted plant	5		No	no monitoring program	not reported	NE	
AA.H1S		Baltic photic muddy sediment ch	aracteri	zed by annual algae			No	no monitoring program	not reported	NE	
AA.H1V		Baltic photic muddy sediment ch	aracteri	zed by mixed epibenthic macro	commu	inity	No	no monitoring program	not reported	NE	
AA.H4U		Baltic photic muddy sediment ch	aracteri	ized by no macrocommunity			No	no monitoring program	not reported	NE	
AA.I1A		Baltic photic coarse sediment ch	aracteri	ized by emergent vegetation			No	no monitoring program	not reported	NE	

Figure 6. Biotopes reported on selected MPA site.

• **Management and regulated activities:** Management plan(s) of the MPA, including list of regulated activities, regulation types and whether regulation is effectively enforced

Management and regulated activities of site Hailuoto, pohjoisranta/Hailuoto northshore, Finland

Qv	Go 1. Primary Rep	port	\$	Actions ~		
dit Management plan name		Management plan status	Link to manager plan	the nent Regulated activities	Implemented monitoring progra	Coverage of management ims plan
Hailuodon Natura 2000 -alueiden hoito- ja käyttösu	unnitelma 2013–2027	In development	the plan	activities	not reported	Marine and terres
						1-
egulated activities for management plan/ sit	e:					
ailuodon Natura 2000 -alueiden hoito- ja käytt	tösuunnitelma 201	3–2027 / Hailud	oto_			
2						
Q~	Go	Actions ~	Back	( )		
						Develotion
						effectivelly
Regulated	activity			Regulation t	ype Regulation frequen	cy enforced
Navigation channels				spatially regu	lated -	yes
Semi-permanent restructuring of seabed morp	hology			partially regul	ated -	yes
Transport infrastructure				partially regul	ated -	yes
Tourism/leisure infrastructure				spatially regu	lated -	yes
Offshore marine infrastructure (including asso	ciated with mineral	and energy ex	traction)	regulated	-	yes
Extraction of sand and gravel				spatially regu	lated -	yes
Extraction of rock & minerals				partially regul	ated -	yes
Renewable energy generation (wind, wave &	tidal power)			partially regul	ated -	yes
Fish & shellfish harvesting (professional, recre	ational)			partially regul	ated -	yes
Hunting and collecting (for non-food purposes	)			spatially regu	lated periodic	yes
Agriculture				regulated	-	yes
Forestry				prohibited	-	yes
Fourism, recreation and sports				spatially regu	lated periodic	yes
Research and survey				partially regul	ated -	yes
Waste and material disposal				partially regul	ated -	yes

Figure 7. Management plan information (upper screenshot) and information on regulated activities within the selected Management plan.

• **Pressures:** Pressures reported to the MPA, including information on location, intensity temporal extent.

Pressures on site			
Q ~ Go Actions ~			
Pressure (list according to MSFD Annex III revision document, May 2015)	Inside or outside the MPA	Intensity (low, medium, high)	Past, current or potential future pressure
Disturbance or damage to seabed	inside	low	past,current,potential future
Disturbance or damage to seabed	outside	low	past,current,potential future
Extraction of seabed or subsoil (e.g. sand, gravel, rock, oil, gas)	inside	low	past,current,potential future
Extraction of seabed or subsoil (e.g. sand, gravel, rock, oil, gas)	outside	low	past,current,potential future
Input of sound	inside	high	past,current,potential future
Input of nutrients and organic matter	inside	medium	past,current,potential future
Input of nutrients and organic matter	outside	medium	past,current,potential future
Input of contaminants (synthetic substances, non-synthetic substances, radionuclides) - diffuse sources, point sources, acute events	outside	low	past,current,potential future
Input of CO2 [and other greenhouse gases]	inside	medium	past,current,potential future
Input of litter (solid waste matter, including micro-size litter)	inside	medium	past,current,potential future
Extraction or, mortality/injury to, species (targeted, non-targeted)	inside	medium	past,current,potential future
Extraction or, mortality/injury to, species (targeted, non-targeted)	outside	medium	past,current,potential future
Disturbance of species	inside	high	past,current,potential future
Introduction or spread of non-indigenous species	inside	medium	current,potential future
			1 - 14

Figure 8. Pressure information reported to the MPA.

#### Other sections

Other sections contains full lists and information on species, biotopes, biotope complexes, pressures and activities and in which MPAs these have been reported. Map section contains dynamic map viewer of all HELCOM MPA areas, Natura 2000 areas and also access to all HELCOM data which can be overlaid on top of the MPA areas.

Sites where the activity is regulated show sites show s		
show sites show sites show sites show sites show sites show sites show sites show sites		
show sites show sites show sites show sites show sites show sites show sites		
show sites show sites show sites show sites show sites show sites		
show sites show sites show sites show sites show sites		
show sites show sites show sites show sites		
show sites show sites show sites		
<u>show sites</u> show sites		
show sites		
show sites		
	show sites show sites show sites show sites show sites show sites	show sites show sites show sites show sites show sites

Figure 9. Full list of activities in the database and a link to list of sites where each activity is regulated according to the management plan.

HELCO	M MPA's where the activity Renewable energy generation peind'is regulated						
9.4	Go Actions ~ Back						
Site ID	HELCOM MPA name	Country	Name of management plan	Type of regulation	Frequency of regulation	Map varw	Site information
300	Kvädötjärden med Torrö	Soreden	Torrö naturreservat	prohibited	permanent	mine	Go.to.aite
300	Kvädöşärden med Torrö	Sweden	Kvådöğärdens naturreservat	prohibited	permanent	mag	Go to site
101	Haparanda Archipelago	Sweden	Bevarandeplan Natura 2000 Haparanda skärgård (SE0820108)	spatially regulated	permanent	1102	Go to site
105	Stora Nassa-Sy. Hogema	Sweden	Stora Nassa naturreservat	prohibited	permanent	1995	So to site
113	Kungsbackaßorden	Sweden	Skötselplan för naturreservatet Kungsbackatjorden i Kungsbacka	prohibiled	permanent	mee	Go.to.site
126	Davids Banke	Denmark	Natura 2000-plan 2009-2015. Davids Banke - Natura 2000-område nr. 209 - Habitatområde H209	regulated	3	mag	Go to site
128	Skælsker Fjord og havet og kysten mellem Agersa og Glæina	Denmark	Natura 2000 område nr. 162 Skælskar Fjord og havet og kysten mellem Agersa og Glæna	regulated	3	5960	Go to site
129	Salholm og omliggende hav	Denmark	Natura 2000 område nr. 142 Saltholm og omliggende hav	regulated		1102	Oo to site
130	Stavns Fjord. Samse Østerfak og Nordby Hede	Denmark	Natura 2000 område nr. 55 Stavns Fjord, Samsa Østerflak og Nordby Hede	regulated	14	map	Go to site
131	Hessela med omliggende sterrev	Denmark	Natura 2000 område nr. 128 Hessela med omliggende størnev	regulated	88	mea	Gio to site
132	Store Middelgrund	Denmark	Natura 2000 område nr. 193 Store Middelgrund	regulated		0102	Go to site
133	Alborg Bugt, Randers Fjord and Mariager Fjord, Birdprotection sites	Denmark	Natura 2000-område nr. 14 Alborg Bugt, Randers Fjord og Manager Fjord	regulated	4	242	Go to site
134	Strandenge på Læsa og havet syd herfor	Denmark	Natura 2000-område nr. 9 Strandenge på Læsø og havet syd herfor	regulated	8	mee.	Go.to.site
135	Hirsholmene, havet vest herfor og Ellinge Å's udlab	Denmark	Natura 2000-område nr. 4 Hirsholmene, havet vest herfor og Ellinge Å's udlab	regulated		mag	Go to site
136	Læsa Trindel og Tanneberg Banke	Denmark	Natura 2000-plan 2009-2015	regulated		mag	Go to site
137	Herthas Flak	Denmark	Natura 2000 område nr. 591 Herthox Flak	regulated	5e	1000	Go to site

Figure 10. List of HELCOM MPAs where the selected activity ("Renewable energy creation (wind)") is regulated.

## Analysis of ecological coherence of MPAs

The purpose of assessing ecological coherence of marine protected areas (MPAs) is to follow up on the development of the MPA network in the Baltic Sea, to identify where further development of the network is needed, and to follow commitments made in HELCOM with regard to MPAs. The overarching target is to achieve a coherent and effectively managed network of MPAs in the Baltic Sea, including not only the network of HELCOM MPAs but also other protection programmes such as Natura 2000 sites.

Additional specific targets include, as agreed through Recommendation 35-1 on the system of coastal and marine Baltic Sea protected areas (HELCOM MPAs1), e.g. to;

- protect at least 10% of the marine area of each Baltic Sea subbasin, when scientifically justified,
- designate new sites as HELCOM MPAs where ecologically meaningful, especially in offshore areas beyond territorial waters,
- ensure that HELCOM MPAs provide specific protection to those species, habitats, biotopes and biotope complexes included in the HELCOM Red Lists,
- develop and apply by 2015 management plans or measures for all existing HELCOM MPAs, and establish management plan or measures for every new MPA within five years after its designation,
- assess the effectiveness of the management plans or measures of HELCOM MPAs by conducting monitoring, and where feasible scientific research programmes, which are directly connected to the conservation interests of HELCOM MPAs, including the placement of monitoring stations inside the MPAs,
- modernize the HELCOM MPAs database, taking into account and harmonizing with other similar databases.

The report will include an assessment of the ecological coherence of the HELCOM MPA network as well as a follow-up of the commitments of HELCOM Recommendation 35-1. The basis of the assessment are data, information and shapefiles reported to the updated HELCOM MPA database. The assessment methodology is based on the previous HELCOM assessment of ecological coherence (HELCOM 2010) and discussions within the HELCOM MPA Task Group and State and Conservation Working Group. The report on analysis of ecological coherence of MPAs is currently being finalized and will be submitted for adoption in HELCOM 37-2016 meeting held in 10-11 March.

Table of contents of report on analysis of ecological coherence of MPAs:

Executive summary

- 1. Introduction
  - 1.1. Purpose of assessing marine protected areas in the Baltic Sea
  - 1.2. The concept of coastal and marine Baltic Sea protected areas (HELCOM MPAs)
- 2. Current status of the network
  - 2.1. Overview of the HELCOM MPAs in numbers
  - 2.2. Developments of the HELCOM MPA network since 2010 and 2013
- 3. Assessment of ecological coherence of the MPA network in the Baltic Sea
  - 3.1. Representativity
    - 3.1.1. Method and results of representativity assessment for the HELCOM MPA network
    - 3.1.2. Method and results of representativity assessment for the combined network of HELCOM MPAs and marine Natura 2000 sites
  - 3.2. Replication
    - 3.2.1. Method and results of replication assessment for the HELCOM MPA network
    - 3.2.2.Method and results of replication assessment for the combined network of HELCOM MPAs and marine Natura 2000 sites
  - 3.3. Adequacy
    - 3.3.1.Method and results of adequacy assessment for the HELCOM MPA network 17
    - 3.3.2. Method and results of adequacy assessment for the combined network of HELCOM MPAs and marine Natura 2000 sites
  - 3.4. Connectivity
    - 3.4.1. Method and results of connectivity assessment for the HELCOM MPA network
    - 3.4.2. Method and results of connectivity for the combined network of HELCOM MPAs and marine Natura 2000 sites
  - 3.5. Conclusions of the ecological coherence assessmens
    - 3.5.1.Current data gaps and proposal for improvements to the assessment
- 4. Testing a new model for aggregating the results of subcriteria evaluations of the ecological coherence assessment into a single outcome
  - 4.1. Integration table of the results of subcriteria evaluations for the HELCOM MPAs
- 5. Recommendation 35/1 on coastal and marine Baltic Sea protected areas (HELCOM MPAs1)
- 5.1. Current status of implementation
  - 5.1.1.Accomplishment of Recommendation 35-1
  - 5.1.2. Summary on Recommendation 35-1 follow-up
  - 5.1.3. Progress of additional commitments
- 6. Next steps for improving the network of HELCOM MPAs /data

References

Annex 1. Overview of assessment design of the entire ecological coherence assessment

Annex 2. Overview of ecological coherence assessment carried out for (1) the HELCOM MPA network and (2) the combination of the HELCOM MPA network and the marine parts of the Natura 2000 network in the Baltic Sea.

Annex 3. Overview table of all data used for the ecological coherence assessment

Annex 4. Rationale of uncertainties in the integration table for aggregating the subcriteria results

Annex 5. Follow up of HELCOM Recommendation 35/1.