



7TH CONFERENCE OF BSR MINISTERS
FOR SPATIAL PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
VILNIUS, LITHUANIA, 2009



Maritime Spatial Planning Challenges in the Baltic Sea



7TH CONFERENCE OF BSR MINISTERS
FOR SPATIAL PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
VILNIUS, LITHUANIA, 2009



Knowledge needs for maritime spatial planning

Risto Kalliola / Department of Geography University of Turku
Finland

October 15th, 2009

Starting point for MSP

- MSP should be more than just drawing boundary lines on water
- It should incorporate any relevant existing knowledge about the addressed sea area, integrate it to the actual needs, involve all the relevant stakeholders to set preferences, and be transparent
- It should also be feasible in ecological, economical and social terms
- As environment and society change with time and new understanding accumulates, it should also be adaptive
- As only a few cases of successfully completed MSP exist in the world, one has to be innovative and experimental within the Baltic Sea region - considering e.g. its diffuse boundary between the coastal zone and open sea areas

Who defines MSP knowledge needs?

- Consider it as a *participatory process*
- Leadership by an institution with the legal mandate, steering by a committee with external counterparts
- It may be hard to incorporate all the relevant voices into the MSP process
- Publicity is needed for transparency and for attention raising
- Experts, politicians authorities and lawyers should participate according to their expertise and mandate
- Anyone whose interest is concerned should be considered as a potential stakeholder
- Also non-speaking interest types should be involved, such as “nature”, “climate change” or “future generations”

Generating knowledge need list

- A broad wish-list of the needed knowledge should be generated, covering a variety of relevant topics
- The leading body should direct and facilitate this work
- Expert opinion, brainstorming workshops, public hearings and open discussion forumsImportant: benchmarking and the incorporation of lessons learnt in other areas
- BALANCE project has already provided some very good references for the Baltic
- The broad wish-list should finally be narrowed down to the essential, and systematized to become a reasonably precise and concrete directory for the later stages of MSP

How to get access to the needed knowledge?

- The “wish-list” should now be narrowed down to the level of concrete data or other information
- Best sources for the information should be identified and contacted
- Get the information, buy it, generate it, evaluate it and improve whenever needed
- Long-term iterative process involving plenty of energy and time; the use of experts can be helpful during the process
- Metadata catalogue should be generated and maintained up-to-date

What, in concrete?

- General background data (base maps, etc) covering the physical and human environments
- Sea area specific data and other unique information (also statistics, forecasts etc.)
- Important to consider both static and dynamic information contents (e.g. sea water quality) according to their unique characteristics
- Spatial and temporal modelling may be needed to develop such information that best fits to the knowledge needs
- SHOULD A “BASELINE KNOWLEDGE NEED LIST” BE AN OUTCOME OF THIS DISCUSSION?

Spatial approach in the core

- As MSP operates on and with maps -as much as possible of the gathered information should be spatial at the beginning or be transformed into spatial form
- Some information must probably be developed by interpolation, extrapolation or by other means alike
- In GIS (Geographic Information System) compatible format the different nature types, administrative borders and use activities can be plotted one over the other
- Spatial overlay should be followed by a set of more specific spatial analyses (buffer, distance analyses, etc.)
- GIS also helps to create alternative MSP regimes for discussion, and to identify the key areas of conflict among different priorities

Representativeness analysis

- The gathered information contents should satisfy the identified knowledge needs but this goal may not be met
- Gaps of information should be identified by spatial and/or other analysis and their significance evaluated
- Additional surveys and other efforts must be planned and implemented to reach the set goals
- This all may take much time and requires funding.

Information infrastructures

- Redundant work by different actors should be replaced by a shared effort within the frame of a commonly agreed collaboration culture
- Information infrastructures involve intellectual property rights, user rights, data harmonization, agreeing about the technical standards to use, resolving compatibility problems and many other technical details alike
- Important to apply existing standards, systems and regulations as far as possible, such as the INSPIRE directive
- Some knowledge basis is not data but rather, for example, human expertise or institutional capability → try to incorporate them into the overall knowledge base of the MSP process, as well.

From selfish to shared data

- Access to relevant information should not be restricted to a few experts or institutions only
- MSP benefits from shared data culture in the form of better, broader, more open and precise knowledge basis
- Shared information resources can be jointly established and maintained over the network
- These may incorporate textual, tabular and spatial data, all with appropriate metadata and user interface
- Adequate computing protocols exist already (e.g. XML), making the goal of virtual networked data banks and information services feasible
- Restrictions in the user rights may be problematic - but problems are to be solved!

Share even your primary data archives

- A number of different actors may have collected original field data
- Everybody people should, ideally, have easy access to each other's data resources
- This makes possible, for example, re-analysis of once collected and analysed data resources (e.g. environmental change can best be detected by comparing two equally collected datasets)
- Scientists often hesitate to share their “own” datasets in a joint data resource; for this, forms of environmental data repositories and rules thereabout should be developed

Updates needed!

- With time, environment and societal preferences may change and scientific research makes progress all the time
- The knowledge base of the MSP should therefore be revisited on a regular basis
- Pressure for the design and implementation of appropriate monitoring systems with sound indicators
- The actuality of the information basis of even an existing MSP system should be re-assessed at regular intervals to make the MSP framework *adaptive*

Communicate, understandably

- Communication from experts to decision-makers and general public can be cumbersome
- Specialists have their own ways of speaking and they are critical towards their own results
- Decision-makers must do their job anyway, quesstimations may thus be preferred
- MSP should involve information portal available 24/7
- Both simplified and deeper-level primary data contents can be delivered simultaneously
- The MSP executing team should include populatization specialists with broad understanding and excellent communication skills



7TH CONFERENCE OF BSR MINISTERS
FOR SPATIAL PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
VILNIUS, LITHUANIA, 2009



**Thank you, these were just some
outlines - now, it is time to discuss**